

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,122	09/04/2001	Hung-Liang Chiu	2769-108	1760
6449 7	7590 11/17/2006		EXAM	INER
	, FIGG, ERNST & MA	FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A		
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3627	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	09/944,122	CHIU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	om consideration. r election requirement.	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Election/Restrictions

Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8/21/06 because no arguments were advanced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted Prior Art in view of Smith. The language following the "for" in each of the sections a-d is deemed functional and is deemed answered at a minimum by the combination's capability/ability to perform the same function.

Applicant's admitted prior art discloses: a. an EDI (Electronic Data Interchange) platform for receiving the electronic purchase order (AAPA page 2, line 16); (b) an SAP (Service Advertising Protocol) platform connected to the EDI platform (Sap platform 120 is linked to the EDI pager 3, line 1), for performing a predefined FCT (Factory Cycle Time) computation procedure to compute for a set of FCT data based on the received electronic purchase order (see page 3 lines 1-3). AAPA disclose (d) a WWW (World Wide Web) server, page 2 line 20, connected to the Internet (inherent

Application/Control Number: 09/944,122

Art Unit: 3627

to the web) and to the SAP platform (the EDI platform is disclosed by AAPA as linked to the SAP p.3 line 1 and the EDI is disclosed as linked to the internet, so the SAP is connected to the internet via its connection with the EDI) and the SQL server. Since the language following the "for" of section (d) fails to incorporate any structural limitations, the recitation of "for serving the FCT/STFC data including the FCT data obtained by the SAP platform and the STFC data obtained by the SQL server through the Internet to the customer" is read as function which is capable of being accomplished by web server as described in AAPA.

AAPA fails to disclose (c) an SQL (Structured Query Language) server connected to the EDI platform. The function of for performing a predefined STFC (Ship to First Commitment) computation procedure to compute for a set of STFC data based on the received electronic purchase order is read as functional limitation which can be met by the any SQL server programmed to interact with an EDI platform. However, Smith does disclose such a feature wherein data on a dedicated server is converted to SQL and then uses the converted data to perform a needs based calculation. See col. 15 lines 23-37. It would be obvious to modify the AAPA combination to include the SQL server of Smith with the www server and EDI server to connected the data exchange between the various component parts the motivation is that SQL language is the de facto standard for relational databases, which is the environment of the combination at hand.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,122

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to be seph A.

Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

Joseph A. Fischetti Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627 Page 4